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This talk introduces the RLCT of an ideal, which we can use, via regularly parametrised models, to simply calculations. The main reference is [Lin11], see $\S \S 1.4$ and 4.1. Throughout, let $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be compact and semianalytic, and $\mathcal{A}_{W}$ be the ring of (real) analytic functions on $W$.

Definition 1. Let $f, \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{W}$ be non-negative analytic functions on $W$. The RLCT of $f$, with the prior $\varphi$, is the pair $(\lambda, \theta)$ of real numbers, such that the partition function:

$$
Z(n):=\int_{W} e^{-n f(w)} \varphi(w) d w
$$

obeys the asymptotic expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\log Z(n)=\lambda \log n-(\theta-1) \log \log n+O(1) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notation: $\operatorname{RLCT}_{W}(f ; \varphi)=(\lambda, \theta)$.
Remark 2. See [Lin11, §3] for the proof that this is well-defined. In fact $\lambda$ is rational and $\theta$ a positive integer, and they can be respectively calculated as the smallest pole of

$$
\zeta(z)=\int_{W} f(w)^{-z} \varphi(w) d w
$$

and its multiplicity. We we write $(\lambda, \theta)<\left(\lambda^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}\right)$, if $\lambda<\lambda^{\prime}$ or $\lambda=\lambda^{\prime}$ and $\theta>\theta^{\prime}$, which is equivalent to

$$
\lambda \log n-(\theta-1) \log \log n<\lambda^{\prime} \log n-\left(\theta^{\prime}-1\right) \log \log n
$$

for all sufficiently large $n$.
Lemma 3. Let $f, g$ be real analytic on $W$. If there is a positive constant $c$ such that $f \leq c g$ on $W$, then

$$
\operatorname{RLCT}_{W}(f ; \varphi) \leq \operatorname{RLCT}_{W}(g ; \varphi),
$$

for any prior $\varphi$.
Proof. Observe that:

$$
Z_{f}(n)=\int_{W} d w e^{-n f(w)} \geq \int_{W} d w e^{-c n g(w)}=Z_{g}(c n),
$$

so we have,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lambda_{f} \log n-\left(\theta_{f}-1\right) \log \log n \leq \lambda_{g} \log (c n)-\left(\theta_{g}-1\right) \log \log (c n)+O(1) \\
=\lambda_{g} \log n-\left(\theta_{g}-1\right) \log \log n+\lambda_{g} \log c \\
-\left(\theta_{g}-1\right) \log \left(1+\frac{\log c}{\log n}\right)+O(1) .
\end{array}
$$

The last two terms are $O(1)$, so we have, for sufficiently large $n$ :

$$
\lambda_{f} \log n-\left(\theta_{f}-1\right) \log \log n \leq \lambda_{g} \log n-\left(\theta_{g}-1\right) \log \log n .
$$

Corollary 4. If there are positive constants $c, d$ such that $c g(w) \leq f(w) \leq d g(w)$, then $\operatorname{RLCT}_{W}(f ; \varphi)=\operatorname{RLCT}_{W}(g ; \varphi)$. Such functions are called comparable.

Corollary 5. Let $I=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right)$ and $J=\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}\right)$ be ideals (with a choice of generators) of $\mathcal{A}_{W}$. If $I \subset J$, then

$$
\operatorname{RLCT}_{W}\left(f_{1}^{2}+\cdots+f_{r}^{2} ; \varphi\right) \leq \operatorname{RLCT}_{W}\left(g_{1}^{2}+\cdots+g_{r}^{2} ; \varphi\right)
$$

Proof. Writing each $f_{i}$ in terms of the $g_{j}$, we have:

$$
f_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{r} h_{i j} g_{j}
$$

for some $h_{i j} \in \mathcal{A}_{W}$. By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality:

$$
f_{i}^{2}=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} h_{i j} g_{j}\right)^{2} \leq\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} h_{i j}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} g_{j}^{2}\right) .
$$

and so,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{s} f_{i}^{2} \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{r} h_{i j}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} g_{j}^{2}\right) .
$$

As the $h_{i j}$ are analytic (continuous) on the compact set $W$, there exists a constant $c$ so that,

$$
\sup _{w \in W}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{r} h_{i j}(w)^{2}\right)=c
$$

and we win.
The last corollary makes the next definition independent of the choice of (finitely many) generators for the ideal $I$.

Definition 6. Let $I=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right) \subset \mathcal{A}_{W}$ be an ideal. Then $\operatorname{RLCT}_{W}(I ; \varphi)$ is defined to be the RLCT associated to the function $f_{1}^{2}+\cdots+f_{r}^{2}$, with the prior $\varphi$.

Caution: this differs (for convenience) by a factor of 2 from the definition in [Lin11]. As a result, there is a discrepancy between the RLCT of a (non-negative) function, and of the ideal it generates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{RLCT}_{W}(f ; \varphi) & =(\lambda, \theta), \\
\operatorname{RLCT}_{W}((f) ; \varphi) & =(\lambda / 2, \theta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(Proof: examine the zeta functions.)
Our definition is useful by the following theorem (which is [Lin11, Proposition 4.4]. In particular, the hypotheses are satisfied when $f(w)=D_{\mathrm{KL}}(q \| p(-\mid w))$, where $p: W \rightarrow \Delta Z$ parametrises probability distributions over some finite set $Z$. In this case, the fibre ideal is generated by the difference between the component probabilities of $p$ and the true distribution $q$.

Theorem 7. Let $f: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be real analytic, and suppose that $f$ factors through $u: W \rightarrow U$, where $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{M}$ is compact and semi-analytic.


Let $\hat{w} \in W$ be a point with $f(\hat{w})=0$, and set $\hat{u}=u(\hat{w})$. If the Hessian of $g$ is positive definite at $\hat{u}$, then there is a semi-analytic neighbourhood $W^{\prime} \subset W$ of $\hat{w}$ so that $\operatorname{RLCT}_{W^{\prime}}(f ; \varphi)=$ $\operatorname{RLCT}_{W^{\prime}}(I ; \varphi)$, where $I$ is the fibre ideal, generated by the components of $u$ :

$$
I=\left(u_{i}-\hat{u}_{i}: i=1, \ldots, m\right) .
$$

Proof. Assume that $\hat{u}=0 \in \mathbb{R}^{M}$. By the Morse lemma, there is a linear change of coordinates $T: \mathbb{R}^{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{M}$ so that $h=g \circ T^{-1}: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has the form:

$$
h(v)=\left(v_{1}^{2}+\cdots+v_{m}^{2}\right)(1+\tilde{h}(v)),
$$

where $V=T(U)$ and $\tilde{h}(0)=0$. Shrinking to $V^{\prime} \subset V$, assume that $\tilde{h}\left(V^{\prime}\right) \subset[-1 / 2,1 / 2]$. Letting $\lambda, \mu$ be, respectively, the smallest and largest eigenvalues of $T^{t} T$, we therefore have:

$$
\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{m}^{2}\right) \leq g(u) \leq \frac{3 \mu}{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{m}^{2}\right),
$$

where the $x_{i}$ are coordinates on $U^{\prime}=T^{-1}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$. As such, on $W^{\prime}=u^{-1}\left(U^{\prime}\right), f$ is comparable (in the sense of Corollary 4) to the function

$$
u_{1}^{2}+\cdots+u_{m}^{2}
$$

which calculates $\operatorname{RLCT}_{W^{\prime}}(I ; \varphi)$.
This is useful for two reasons. First, the functions $u_{i}$ may well be simpler than the original $f$. For example, in the case of program synthesis on a Turing machine, they are polynomials (see thesis). Second, the ideal definition is more flexible. As well as the freedom to choose generators, it satisfies several other properties which simplify calculations: see here.

## References

[Lin11] S. Lin. Algebraic Methods for Evaluating Integrals in Bayesian Statistics. PhD thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 2011.

